

On symmetry of spectra of linear operators in Banach spaces

Oleg Reinov

Saint Petersburg State University

To formulate the theorem, we need a definition:

- The spectrum of A is central-symmetric, if together with any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ it has the eigenvalue $-\lambda$ of the same multiplicity.

It was proved in a paper by M. I. Zelikin



M. I. Zelikin, "A criterion for the symmetry of a spectrum", Dokl. Akad. Nauk 418 (2008), no. 6, 737-740

- **Theorem.** The spectrum of a nuclear operator A acting on a separable Hilbert space is central-symmetric iff $\text{trace } A^{2n-1} = 0, n \in \mathbf{N}$.

To formulate the theorem, we need a definition:

- The spectrum of A is central-symmetric, if together with any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ it has the eigenvalue $-\lambda$ of the same multiplicity.

It was proved in a paper by M. I. Zelikin



M. I. Zelikin, "A criterion for the symmetry of a spectrum", Dokl. Akad. Nauk 418 (2008), no. 6, 737-740

- **Theorem.** The spectrum of a nuclear operator A acting on a separable Hilbert space is central-symmetric iff $\text{trace } A^{2n-1} = 0, n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Mityagin's Theorem

Definition

Let T be an operator in X , all non-zero spectral values of which are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity and have no limit point except possibly zero. For a fixed $d = 2, 3, \dots$ and for the operator T , the spectrum of T is called \mathbb{Z}_d -symmetric, if $0 \neq \lambda \in \text{sp}(T)$ implies $t\lambda \in \text{sp}(T)$ for every $t \in \sqrt[d]{1}$ and of the same multiplicity.

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a compact operator. Suppose that some power of T is nuclear. The spectrum of T is \mathbb{Z}_d -symmetric iff there is an integer $K \geq 0$ such that for every $l > Kd$ the value trace T^l is well defined and trace $T^{kd+r} = 0$ for all $k = K, K + 1, K + 2, \dots$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1$.



B. S. Mityagin, *A criterion for the \mathbb{Z}_d -symmetry of the spectrum of a compact operator*, J. Operator Theory, **76**:1 (2016), 57–65.

Reinov's Generalization of Mityagin's Theorem

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a linear continuous operator. Suppose that some power of T is nuclear. The spectrum of T is \mathbb{Z}_d -symmetric iff there is an integer $K \geq 0$ such that for every $l > Kd$ the value trace T^l is well defined and trace $T^{kd+r} = 0$ for all $k = K, K + 1, K + 2, \dots$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots, d - 1$.



Oleg Reinov, *Some remarks on spectra of nuclear operators*, SPb. Math. Society Preprint 2016-09, 1-9

In the proof we use the Fredholm theory of A. Grothendieck:



A. Grothendieck, *La théorie de Fredholm*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **84** (1956), 319–384.

General notation

X, Y Banach spaces.

$L(X, Y)$ — linear continuous operators.

For $T : X \rightarrow Y$,

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x)\| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\}.$$

$X^* = L(X, \mathbb{C})$.

For $0 < p < \infty$,

$$l^p = \{(a_k) : a_k \in \mathbb{C}, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p \leq \infty\},$$

$$\|(a_k)\|_{l^p} = \{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|^p\}^{1/p}.$$

$$l^{\infty} = \{(a_k) : \|(a_k)\|_{l^{\infty}} = \sup_k |a_k| < \infty\};$$

$$c_0 = \{(a_k) \subset l^{\infty}; a_k \rightarrow 0\}.$$

Preliminaries

$$\mathcal{F}(X, Y) = \{T \in L(X, Y) : \text{rank } T < \infty\}$$

$$T \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y) \implies T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x'_k(x) y_k,$$

where $x'_k \in X^*$, $y_k \in Y$.

If $T \in \mathcal{F}(X, X)$, then $T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n x'_k(x) x_k$ ($x'_k \in X^*$, $x_k \in X$)
and

$$\text{trace } T := \sum_{k=1}^n x'_k(x_k).$$

"Trace" does not depend on a representation of T and

$$\text{trace } T = \sum \text{eigenvalues } (T)$$

(written according their multiplicities).

Nuclear representations

Also, a finite rank $T \in L(X, X)$. Consider a *nuclear* representation

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x)x_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_k\| \|x_k\| < \infty$$

and

$$\alpha := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x_k).$$

- **Question:** $\alpha = \text{trace } T$?
- Generally, NO.



Enflo P. , A counterexample to the approximation property in Banach spaces, Acta Math., Volume 130, 1973, 309–317

Nuclear representations

Also, a finite rank $T \in L(X, X)$. Consider a *nuclear* representation

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x)x_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_k\| \|x_k\| < \infty$$

and

$$\alpha := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x_k).$$

- **Question:** $\alpha = \text{trace } T$?
- Generally, NO.



Enflo P. , A counterexample to the approximation property in Banach spaces, Acta Math., Volume 130, 1973, 309–317

Nuclear representations

Also, a finite rank $T \in L(X, X)$. Consider a *nuclear* representation

$$Tx = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x)x_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_k\| \|x_k\| < \infty$$

and

$$\alpha := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x_k).$$

- **Question:** $\alpha = \text{trace } T$?
- Generally, NO.



Enflo P. , A counterexample to the approximation property in Banach spaces, Acta Math., Volume 130, 1973, 309–317

Definition

$T : X \rightarrow Y$ is nuclear, if

$$\exists (x'_k) \subset X^*, (y_k) \subset Y : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_k\| \|y_k\| < \infty,$$

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x) y_k, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Remark: If T is nuclear, then $T : X \rightarrow c_0 \xrightarrow{\Delta} l_1 \rightarrow Y$. $\Delta \in l^1$.

s-Nuclear operators

Generally:

Definition

$T : X \rightarrow Y$ is s -nuclear ($0 < s \leq 1$), if

$$\exists (x'_k) \subset X^*, (y_k) \subset Y : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x'_k\|^s \|y_k\|^s < \infty,$$

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x) y_k, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Remark: If T is s -nuclear, then $T : X \rightarrow c_0 \xrightarrow{\Delta} l_1 \rightarrow X$, $\Delta \in l^s$.

Nuclear operators: Trace and AP

Definition

Let $T \in L(X, X)$ be nuclear with

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x) x_k, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x'_k(x_k)$ **does not depend** on a representation, then it is the (nuclear) trace of T . Notation: trace T .

Definition

If every nuclear $T : X \rightarrow X$ has a trace, then X has the AP.

Grothendieck's Definition:

Definition

X has the AP if id_X is in the closure of $\mathcal{F}(X, X)$ in the topology of compact convergence:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall \text{ compact } K \subset X \exists R \in \mathcal{F}(X, X) : \sup_{x \in K} \|Rx - x\| < \varepsilon.$$



A. Grothendieck: *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **16**(1955).



Enflo P. , A counterexample to the approximation property in Banach spaces, Acta Math., Volume 130, 1973, 309–317

Grothendieck's Definition:

Definition

X has the AP if id_X is in the closure of $\mathcal{F}(X, X)$ in the topology of compact convergence:

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall \text{ compact } K \subset X \exists R \in \mathcal{F}(X, X) : \sup_{x \in K} \|Rx - x\| < \varepsilon.$$



A. Grothendieck: *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **16**(1955).



Enflo P. , A counterexample to the approximation property in Banach spaces, Acta Math., Volume 130, 1973, 309–317

Examples

- $AP : C(K), L_p(\mu), A, L_\infty/H^\infty$ etc;
- $\forall p \in [1, \infty] \setminus \{2\} \exists X \subset l_p : X \notin AP$;
- $L(H) \notin AP, H^\infty$ — not known;

A characterization of AP

A. Grothendieck:

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- 1) Every Banach space has the approximation property.*
- 2) If a nuclear operator $U : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ is such that $\text{trace } U = 1$, then $U^2 \neq 0$.*

By Enflo:

Theorem

There exists a nuclear operator $U : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ such that $\text{trace } U = 1$ and $U^2 = 0$.

Bad nuclear operators in l^1

Can be obtain from Davie's

-  Davie A.M., The approximation problem for Banach spaces, Bull. London Math. Soc., Vol 5, 1973, 261–266

Theorem

There exists a nuclear operator T in l^1 :

- (i) T is s -nuclear for every $s \in (2/3, 1]$.*
- (ii) trace $T = 1$.*
- (iii) $T^2 = 0$.*

A proof can be found in

-  A. Pietsch, Operator ideals, North-Holland, 1978.

Positive results

On the other hand:

A. Grothendieck:

Theorem

If T is $2/3$ -nuclear (in any X), then trace T is well-defined. Moreover, if trace $T \neq 0$, then $T^2 \neq 0$.

V. B. Lidskiĭ:

Theorem

If $T : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$ is 1-nuclear, then trace T is well-defined. Moreover, if trace $T \neq 0$, then $T^2 \neq 0$.

Can be found in



V. B. Lidskiĭ, *Nonselfadjoint operators having a trace*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **125**(1959), 485–487.

or in A. Pitsch's book.

Our aim

Thus, the cases of nuclear operators in c_0 , l^1 and l^2 were considered above, and these are all the cases (in the scale of l^p -spaces) which were known till now.

We are going to consider the cases where $1 < p < \infty$ and to get *an optimal results* (also in case of c_0).

Main results: Generalization of Zelikin's Theorem

Our main theorems:

Theorem

Let Y is a subspace of a quotient (or a quotient of a subspace) of some $L_p(\mu)$ -space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/r = 1 + |1/2 - 1/p|$. If $T : Y \rightarrow Y$ is r -nuclear, then trace T is well-defined. The spectrum of T is central-symmetric iff trace $T^{2n-1} = 0$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$. In particular, if trace $T \neq 0$, then $T^2 \neq 0$.

Theorem

Let $p \in [1, \infty]$, $p \neq 2$, $1/r = 1 + |1/2 - 1/p|$. There exists a nuclear operator V in l^p (in c_0 for $p = \infty$) such that

- 1) V is s -nuclear for each $s \in (r, 1]$;
- 2) V is not r -nuclear;
- 3) trace $V = 1$ and $V^2 = 0$.

Note that for $p = \infty$ we have $r = 2/3$ and for $p = 2$ we have $r = 1$.

Our auxiliary theorem

$L_c(X, Y)$ — $L(X, Y)$ with topology of compact convergence.
Main ingredient for getting V above:

Theorem

Let $r \in [2/3, 1)$, $p \in (2, \infty]$, $1/r = 3/2 - 1/p$. There exist a subspace Y_p of the space l_p (c_0 if $p = \infty$), a linear continuous functional Ψ on $L_c(Y_p, Y_p)$ and systems $(y_k) \subset Y^*$, $(y_k) \subset Y$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|y'_k\|^s \|y_k\|^s < \infty \quad \forall s > r,$$

$$\Psi(U) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} y'_k(Uy_k) \quad \forall U \in L(Y_p, Y_p),$$

$$\Psi(R) = 0 \quad \forall R \in \mathcal{F}(Y_p, Y_p).$$

Moreover, such situation is impossible for $s = r$.

Thank you for your attention!